

A ℓ_1 -PREDUAL WHICH IS NOT ISOMETRIC TO A QUOTIENT OF $C(\alpha)$

DALE E. ALSPACH

ABSTRACT. About twenty years ago Johnson and Zippin showed that every separable $L_1(\mu)$ -predual was isometric to a quotient of $C(\Delta)$, where Δ is the Cantor set. In this note we will show that the natural analogue of the theorem for ℓ_1 -preduals does not hold. We will show that there are many ℓ_1 -preduals which are not isometric to a quotient of any $C(K)$ -space with K a countable compact metric space. We also prove some general results about the relationship between ℓ_1 -preduals and quotients of $C(K)$ -spaces with K a countable compact metric space.

About 20 years ago Johnson and Zippin [J-Z] proved the following theorem.

Theorem. *Suppose that X is a separable $L_1(\mu)$ -predual, then there is subspace Y of $C(\Delta)$, where Δ is the Cantor set, such that X is isometric to $C(\Delta)/Y$.*

Because the space X might be $C(\Delta)$, $C(\Delta)$ is the smallest $L_1(\mu)$ -predual that one could use for such a result. If we consider the class of ℓ_1 -preduals then it is conceivable that a smaller space might be sufficient although the space would need to depend on some measurement of the size of the ℓ_1 -predual. A natural class of spaces to consider is the spaces $C(\alpha)$ where α is a countable ordinal. (This is the same as the class of $C(K)$ -spaces with K a countable compact Hausdorff space by a classical result of Mazurkiewicz and Sierpiński, [M-S].) Thus one can consider the following question.

Question. *If X is an ℓ_1 -predual is there a countable ordinal α such that X is isometric (isomorphic) to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$?*

We will show that the isometric question has a negative answer and prove some technical results which are useful for deciding whether an ℓ_1 -predual is isomorphic to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$. The isomorphic question remains open and at the end of the paper we discuss some variants of the isomorphic problem. Note also that we consider only X for which X^* is isometric to ℓ_1 because even the Johnson–Zippin result is false for isomorphic ℓ_1 -preduals, [B-D].

Throughout this paper ℓ_1 -predual will mean a Banach space with dual isometric to ℓ_1 . If α is an ordinal $C(\alpha)$ will denote the space of continuous functions on the ordinals less than or equal to α with the order topology. If A is a subset of a Banach space, $[A]$ is the norm closed linear span of A . Notation and standard

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E10, Secondary 46B04..

Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-8902327

results from Banach space theory may be found in the books of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, [L-T], Dunford and Schwartz, [D-S], and Diestel, [D].

We begin with some technical results about basic sequences equivalent to the usual ℓ_1 basis which are contained in dual spaces.

Lemma 1. *Let Z be a Banach space with separable dual and let Y be a subspace of Z^* which is isomorphic to ℓ_1 with normalized ℓ_1 -basis (y_n) . If $\overline{\{y_n\}}^{w^*} \subset Y$ then Y is w^* -closed in Z^* .*

Proof. By the Krein-Smulian Theorem, [D-S, Theorem V.5.7], it is sufficient to show that $B_Y = \{y \in Y : \|y\| \leq 1\}$ is w^* -closed. Let (z_n^*) be a sequence in B_Y with w^* -limit z^* . We will show that $z^* \in Y$. Let $K = \overline{\{y_n\}}^{w^*}$ and let $\lambda \geq 1$ satisfy $\lambda \|\sum a_n y_n\| \geq \sum |a_n|$ for all finite sequences (a_n) . We know that $\overline{\text{co} \pm \lambda K}^{w^*} \supset \overline{\text{co} \{\pm \lambda y_n\}}^{w^*} \supset B_Y$.

Because $z^* \in \overline{B_Y}^{w^*} \subset \overline{\text{co} \pm \lambda K}^{w^*}$, by the Choquet, [D, p 154], and Milman Theorems, [D-S, Lemma V.8.5], z^* is represented by a probability measure μ supported on

$$\text{Ext } \overline{\text{co} \pm \lambda K}^{w^*} \subset \pm \lambda K.$$

If $z^* \notin Y$ then by the Hahn-Banach Theorem there exists $z^{**} \in Z^{**}$ such that $z^{**}|_Y = 0$, $\|z^{**}\| = 1$, and $z^{**}(z^*) > 0$. z^{**} is the w^* -limit of a sequence in Z , (z_j) , with $\|z_j\| \leq 1$ for all j . Thus

$$z^{**}(z^*) = \lim_j z^*(z_j) = \lim_j \int_{\pm \lambda K} z_j d\mu.$$

Since $K \subset Y$, $z^{**}(k) = 0$ for all $k \in K$. Hence $z_j(\lambda k) \rightarrow 0$ for all $k \in K$. Therefore (z_j) is a uniformly bounded sequence converging pointwise to 0 on $\pm \lambda K$ and it follows from the Bounded Convergence Theorem that $z^{**}(z^*) = 0$. $\square$

The next lemma tells us that the w^* -closure of the ℓ_1 -basis is the only thing that is important.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that X and Y are separable Banach spaces and that (x_n^*) and (y_n^*) are normalized sequences in X^* and Y^* , respectively, which are equivalent to the usual unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and for which $\overline{[x_n^*]}^{w^*} = [x_n^*]$ and $\overline{[y_n^*]}^{w^*} = [y_n^*]$. Suppose that the basis to basis map ϕ of $[x_n^*]$ onto $[y_n^*]$, i.e., $\phi(\sum a_n x_n^*) = \sum a_n y_n^*$, is a w^* -homeomorphism of the w^* -closure of $\{x_n^*\}$ onto the w^* -closure of $\{y_n^*\}$. Then ϕ is a w^* -continuous isomorphism of $[x_n^*]$ onto $[y_n^*]$.*

Proof. Only the w^* -continuity needs to be proved. By passing to quotients we may assume that (x_n^*) and (y_n^*) are bases for the duals of X and Y , respectively. If we identify X and Y with the w^* -continuous affine symmetric functions on B_{X^*} and B_{Y^*} , respectively, we need only show that the linear extension of a w^* -continuous linear function on $K(X) = \lambda^{-1} \overline{\{x_n^*\}}^{w^*} \cup \{0\}$, where (x_n^*) is λ equivalent to the usual basis of ℓ_1 , is w^* -continuous on B_{X^*} . Let Φ be the map defined by $(\Phi f)(x^*) = f(\phi(x^*))$ for $x^* \in K(X)$ and let J_X and J_Y be the evaluation maps from X into $C(K(X))$ and from Y into $C(K(Y))$, respectively. ($K(Y)$ is defined analogously to

$K(X)$.) We have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & X \\ J_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow J_X \\ C(K(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & C(K(X)) \end{array}$$

In order to invert J_X we need to show that any $x^{**} \in C(K(X)) \cap X^{**}$ is actually in X . Any such x^{**} is the w^* -limit of a sequence $(x_n) \in \|x^{**}\|B_X$. Because x^{**} is continuous on $K(X)$, (x_n) converges to x^{**} weakly in $C(K(X))$. Hence $x_{|K(X)}^{**} \in \overline{\text{co } \{x_n|_{K(X)}\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$ and thus $x^{**} \in X$ because $K(X)$ is norming. $\square$

If we reformulate these results for the special case where X is a ℓ_1 -predual and Y is some $C(\alpha)$, we get

Proposition 3. *Suppose that X is an ℓ_1 -predual and let (x_n^*) be the ℓ_1 -basis of the dual. Then X is isometric to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$, for some $\alpha < \omega_1$, if and only if there is a sequence (μ_n) of norm 1, mutually singular measures on α such that $K = \overline{\{\mu_n^*\}}^{w^*} \subset [\mu_n]$ and the map ϕ defined by $\phi(x_n^*) = \mu_n$ extends to a w^* -continuous map from $\overline{\{x_n^*\}}^{w^*}$ onto K .*

Proof. It is well known that a sequence of measures in the dual of a $C(K)$ -space is isometric to a ℓ_1 -basis if and only if the measures are norm one and mutually singular. The two lemmas complete the proof. $\square$

To our knowledge this proposition has not appeared previously but for a long time it was used on an intuitive level by the author. This can be seen in the construction of the example in [A] and in the treatment of the Benyamini-Lindenstrauss example [B-L] given in [A-B]. To see the utility of the proposition consider the following standard example of an ℓ_1 -predual which is not isometric to a $C(K)$ -space.

Example 1. Let (t_n) be a sequence of points in the open unit square in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $K = \overline{\{t_n\}} = \{t_n\} \cup \{(t, 0) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Let $X = \{f \in C(K) : f(t, 0) = tf((0, 0)) + (1 - t)f((1, 0))\}$. Clearly X is isomorphic to c_0 and the ℓ_1 -basis for the dual is the evaluations at the t_n 's and at $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 0)$. We claim that X is isometric to a quotient of $C(\omega \cdot 2)$. Indeed, let $t_{n,1}$ denote the first coordinate of t_n for all n . Define $\phi(\delta_{t_n}) = t_{n,1}\delta_n + (1 - t_{n,1})\delta_{\omega+n}$, $\phi(\delta_{(0,0)}) = \delta_\omega$, and $\phi(\delta_{(1,0)}) = \delta_{\omega \cdot 2}$. It is easy to verify that ϕ extends to be a w^* -continuous map from the closure of the basis of X^* onto the closure of the image. Clearly the span of the range of ϕ contains the w^* -closure of the range of ϕ . Thus X is a quotient of $C(\omega \cdot 2)$ by the proposition.

Next we will note some simple facts about basic sequences in the dual of a $C(K)$ -space which are 1-equivalent to the usual basis of ℓ_1 .

Lemma 4. *If (μ_n) is a sequence of mutually singular (non-zero) measures in $C(K)^*$, K compact metric, which converges w^* to μ then*

$$\text{supp } \mu \subset \{k \in K : \text{there exist } k_n \in \text{supp } \mu_n \text{ with } \lim k_n = k\}.$$

If the measures in Lemma 4 are all atomic then we may replace the support of the measures by the set of points where the measure is non-zero and the result remains true. The proof of the lemma is straight-forward so we leave it to the reader.

Corollary 5. *If K is a countable compact metric space and (μ_n) is a sequence of mutually singular measures in $C(K)^*$ with w^* -limit μ , then $\text{supp } \mu \subset K^{(1)}$, the first derived set of K .*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4. $\square$

Now let X be a ℓ_1 -predual and let (e_n) be the ℓ_1 -basis for the dual. We want to define a system of derived sets $N^{(\alpha)} = N^{(\alpha)}((e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ of $\mathbb{N}$ that has properties similar to those of the Szlenk sets for the w^* -closure K of the sequence (e_n) . (See [S] for the definition and properties of the Szlenk sets.)

Definition. Let $N^{(0)} = \mathbb{N}$ and if $N^{(\alpha)}$ has been defined, let

$$N^{(\alpha+1)} = \{n \in N^{(\alpha)} : \text{there exists an infinite } M \subset N^{(\alpha)} \text{ such that } (w^* \lim_{j \in M} e_j)(n) \neq 0\}.$$

If α is a limit ordinal define $N^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} N^{(\beta)}$.

Proposition 6. *Let K be a countable compact metric space. If (μ_n) is a sequence of norm one measures in $C(K)^*$, K a countable compact metric space, which are 1-equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and $[\mu_n]$ is w^* -closed, then $\{N^{(\alpha)}((\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) : \alpha < \alpha_0\}$ is a strictly decreasing family of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, where α_0 is the smallest ordinal α such that $N^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5 we have that if $(\mu_j)_{j \in M}$ converges to a non-zero measure $\mu = \sum a_k \mu_k$, then $\text{supp } \mu \subset K^{(1)}$. Therefore if $a_n \neq 0$ then $n \in N^{(1)}$, and $\text{supp } \mu \subset K^{(1)}$ implies that $\text{supp } \mu_n \subset K^{(1)}$ because the measures μ_k are mutually singular. Hence for all $n \in N^{(1)}$, $\text{supp } \mu_n \subset K^{(1)}$. A simple induction argument completes the proof. $\square$

Using this proposition it is very easy to find ℓ_1 -preduals which are not isometric to a quotient of any $C(\alpha)$.

Example 2. Let $X = \{(a_n) \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{2^n}\}$. Note that X is a codimension 1 subspace of $c = C(\omega)$. Thus X is isomorphic to c_0 . We claim that the evaluation functionals defined by $e_n((a_j)) = a_n$ are a basis for the dual 1-equivalent to the usual ℓ_1 -basis. To do this for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we will exhibit a copy of ℓ_∞^k which norms the span of the first k of these functionals. Fix $K > k$ and let

$$f_j(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = j \\ 0, & \text{if } n < K \text{ and } n \neq j \\ -2^{-j}, & \text{if } n = K \\ 2^{-j}, & \text{if } n > K. \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Obviously $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_j(n) = 2^{-j} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} f_j(n)$. Thus $(f_j)_{j \leq k}$ is a sequence in X 1-equivalent to the unit vectors in ℓ_∞^k and biorthogonal to the first k evaluation functionals.

We claim that X is not isometric to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$. Indeed, the w^* -limit in X^* of (e_j) is $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e_n}{2^n}$. Hence $N^{(\alpha)}((e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \mathbb{N}$ for all α . By Propositions 3 and 6,

X^* is not isometric to a w^* -closed subspace of $C(K)^*$ for any countable compact metric space K , consequently, X is not isometric to a quotient of any $C(\alpha)$.

The point here is that in this case one really needs the Cantor set or some other uncountable compact metric space to support the measures. If we relax the requirement from isometric to a quotient to $(1 + \epsilon)$ -isomorphic to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$, then we can embed the basis of X^* in $C(\omega^2)^*$.

Fix N and define $\phi(e_n) = \delta_{\omega \cdot n}$ for $n \leq N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(e_n) &= (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}})^{-1} [\sum_{j \leq N} \frac{\delta_{\omega \cdot (j-1) + n}}{2^j} + \sum_{N+1 \leq j < n} \frac{\phi(e_j)}{2^j}] \\ &= (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}})^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < n} \frac{\phi(e_j)}{2^j} \end{aligned}$$

for $n > N$. It follows from Lemma 2 that ϕ can be extended to a w^* -isomorphism of X^* into $C(\omega^2)$.

The example provides a trivial way of producing more such examples. Indeed, take any ℓ_1 -predual and construct an isomorph by adding the example as a ℓ_∞ direct summand to get another ℓ_1 -predual which is not isometric to a quotient of any $C(\alpha)$. There are probably much more interesting and subtle examples that can be constructed by using the behavior of the w^* -topology in the example.

As we noted earlier it is unknown whether every ℓ_1 -predual is isomorphic to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$. In addition there are several variants of this question which are open. Below X is a ℓ_1 -predual.

Question 1. Given $\epsilon > 0$ does there exist $\alpha < \omega_1$ and a w^* -continuous into isomorphism S of X^* into $C(\alpha)^*$ with $\|S\| \|S|_{S(X^*)}^{-1}\| < 1 + \epsilon$? In other words is X $(1 + \epsilon)$ -isomorphic to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$?

Question 2. Is there an $\alpha < \omega_1$ and a quotient Y of $C(\alpha)$ such that Y^* is isometric to ℓ_1 and X is isomorphic to Y ?

A positive answer to Question 1 or 2 would of course answer the isomorphic question. A positive answer to Question 1 would imply that there is an α that works for all ϵ simultaneously, that is, there is an α so that X is almost isometric to a quotient of $C(\alpha)$. Such a result should provide very useful information of the structure of ℓ_1 -preduals. Let us also note that very little is known about quotients of $C(\alpha)$. In particular it is unknown whether they must be c_0 -saturated. (Y is c_0 -saturated if every subspace contains c_0 .)

REFERENCES

- [A] D. E. Alspach, *A quotient of $C(\omega^\omega)$ which is not isomorphic to a subspace of $C(\alpha)$, $\alpha < \omega_1$* , Israel J. Math **35** (1980), 49–60.
- [A-B] D. E. Alspach and Y. Benyamini, *A geometrical property of $C(K)$ spaces*, Israel J. Math. **64** (1988), 179–194.
- [B-L] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *A predual of ℓ_1 which is not isomorphic to a $C(K)$ space*, Israel J. Math **13** (1972), 246–259.
- [B-D] J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen, *A class of special $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -spaces*, Acta Math. **145** (1980), 155–176.
- [D] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 92, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

- [D-S] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators: General Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol.7, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [J-Z] W.B. Johnson and M.Zippin, *Every separable predual of an L_1 -space is a quotient of $C(\Delta)$* , Israel J. Math **16** (1973), 198–202.
- [L-T] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I, Sequence spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [M] S. Mazur, *Über konvexe Mengen in linearen normierte Räumen*, Studia Math. **4** (1933), 70–84.
- [M-S] S. Mazurkiewicz and W. Sierpinski., *Contribution à la topologie des ensembles dénombrables*, Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 17–27.
- [S] W. Szlenk, *The non-existence of a separable reflexive Banach space universal for all separable reflexive Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **30** (1968), 53–61.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY,
STILLWATER, OK 74078-0613

E-mail address: `alspach@hilbert.math.okstate.edu`